

In the case of the Berlin judiciary, there is a vicious brutalization beyond all limits of what is still tolerable. Enlightenment: No thanks.



AUTHOR: [Heinz Fassbender](#)

April 6, 2024

Reading time: 10 min.



In the case of a sexually abused child and an inexplicable debt entry in the amount of 135,000 € with the Berlin persecution justice, against a mother who fights for clarification of the abuse at the Berlin judicial authorities, the silence of the lambs prevails, entirely in the sense of the perpetrator knowledge of the judicial and official powers.

Perpetrator knowledge


Now we have asked a renowned Berlin child protection and anti-bullying trainer, who runs his child protection and anti-bullying business as his own brand company, to help solve the case, because the responsible authorities refuse – as usual – if they have completely failed completely. Several cases of „Jugendamt“ and family court scandals have been described here and the abducted children scream violently for help for a humane child protection. Mr. S., we need you! The trainer and friend of children, who is known through radio, media and television, had indicated in writing through a Cologne law firm that he was interested in the case. [Here is the mail history](#)

According to the motto:

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender

Reference number
unsolved 

maybe we'll get the case solved. A blessing for the mother and a blessing for the child – thanks to the well-known child protector, who hopefully agrees.



Sexual violence against children

"We must not conceal and trivialize frightening reality!"

This promise is also sorely needed, because the district court of Spandau, as the registration scene of a sum of 135,000 €, unaffordable for a nurse, without proof that there is a creditor at all – reacts like an official caught red-handed. Seeing nothing, saying nothing, hearing nothing – the typical civil servant's dealings with the taxpayer, who have to endure and provide for this clientele.

We had reported in detail here: [read more about it here.](#)

Even the continuation did not lead to the much-needed clarification of the stubborn heads in the administration and the judiciary. [Quotes](#) from

the files were also not used for self-examination to reassess the case.

[Read in detail here](#)

This is how the authorities' elites see themselves: Even if everything flies away – I am the spirit of truth and no one can do anything to me. In this story, the following can be recorded. It is an unparalleled stubbornness of lawyers and civil servants. An attitude of mind of public officials, which is characterized by intransigence, obstinacy, narrow-mindedness or even mental immobility with enormous prejudice, coupled with a pathological overestimation of oneself and a socio-politically parasitic bias. The term "stubborn", "stubborn", "stubborn", "stubborn" or "stubborn" have similar meanings.

And so the district court of Spandau reacted to our inquiries or did not respond. To the inquiry how it comes to an entry in the amount of 135,000 €, there is an answer that a mere mortal does not understand.



Amtsgericht Spandau

Hear nothing, see nothing, say nothing, Typical German lawyers

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender

On 03/28/24, 4:32 PM, Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de wrote:

Dear Mr. Fassbender,

According to the documents they sent, it is said to be a claim from the Judicial Costs Recovery Office (KEJ). In this regard, I can inform you that the KEJ collects procedural costs, e.g. court fees, costs for experts, but does not collect claims from third parties. As a rule, ho-



however, the costs of the proceedings do not amount to a six-figure amount. Nor does KEJ claim any interest.

Paula Riester in a repetitive frenzy of non-testimony.

There is a matter of costs for the file numbers you mentioned, but it is in the lower four-digit range.

I cannot tell you to what extent there are other third-party claims against the person concerned. I have no information on this.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Riester

Unreachable

Here is the document with file number:

Who is the creditor?

Title: DR II 1214/16

Received on 29.08.2016; Completed on 10.04.2017; Ready; VAK to third-party creditors 10.04.2017

Creditor: Cost Collection Office of the Judiciary, Altstädter Ring 7, 13597 Berlin

Az. 116200593009

Debtor [REDACTED] 1, 13595 Berlin

Born on 02. [REDACTED]

Born in [REDACTED]

Country of birth: Germany

Maiden name S [REDACTED]

Total Ford. As of today, 137,684.77 euros.

Total receivables approx. EUR 109,125.89, costs EUR 98.53, interest EUR 28,962.74, payment EUR 0.00

The outstanding receivables amount to EUR 109,125.89 + costs EUR 98.53 + interest EUR 28,460.35

Procedure VH

From: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de <tv-journalistenbuero@email.de>

Sent: Thursday, March 28, 2024 4:48

PM To: KG Press and Public Relations <Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de>

Subject: Aw: AW: AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau from 21.03.2024

Good day

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender



Journalist Heinz Fassbender

So the entry will be deleted?

If there are no demands, why is the entry in it?

Friendly greeting

Heinz Fassbender

What is

Sent: Wednesday, April 03, 2024 at 9:47 AM

From: Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de **To:** tv-journalistenbuero@email.de



Disrespectful to the suffering of a mother who was badly played with.

Subject: AW: Aw: AW: AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau of 21.03.2024

Dear Mr. Fassbender, demands other than the one I mentioned are not available at the KEJ and therefore cannot be deleted.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Riester

Now it's over with funny

From: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de <tv-journalistenbuero@email.de>

Sent: Thursday, April 4, 2024 1:41

PM To: KG Press and Public Relations <Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de>

Subject: Aw: AW: AW: AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau dated 21.03.2024

Priority: High

Hello Mrs. Riester,

I have to ask myself seriously what has to happen in this smoothly functioning administrative machinery of the judiciary before this brutalization machinery descends from its high rust of arrogance of power.

The abysses in the entire case of total ignorance, in the apparent self-understanding as "lawyers", which the equality of all before the law and the protection of the individual are to be respected, is here experienced by a vicious exclusion of those who do not belong to the illustrious circle of a "secret parallel society" of the civil servant and lawyer state.

If these privileged and well-cared for should or can only take on a small amount of responsibility in the analysis of self-reflection, then they would have to realize that even the failure to answer questions, even if they come from journalists, opens a door to a totalitarian

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender

system that reminds us of the resurrection of gray times, especially in Germany.

In a frightening way, no is said to responsibility, even on the part of civil servants in a hierarchically organised administration, where it is required by law and humanity, yes, even guaranteed by the Basic Law. An attitude emerges, comparable to "after me the deluge", but at the same time harassing the people with brute force and calling them to criminal accountability, even in the case of sheer trivialities or complete trivialities.

"After me, the deluge!"

Even as citizens of the country or as the mother of a child, those responsible in this case are not in a position to admit their actions, because they all know that they can never be called upon to answer questions. Only in this way can it be understood that the judiciary charges high "fees" for this fight of a mother, although the "performance" of all participants was and still is an absolute bad performance. She, this achievement, was irresponsible to the point of villainess, sometimes even malicious.

In addition, this mother, who has a legal duty to care for her child, is forced to pay a sum that can no longer be paid in this life as a nurse, so that one loses sight and hearing, and no one knows why.

WHAT'S GOING ON?!

How could this happen? Who constructed this "entry"? Does this entry still exist? How can it be that a "demand" of the judiciary, actually for the refusal to protect a child from sexual abuse, costs a few thousand euros and has been collected for years, and this sum has

been entered in your records since 2016 with 135,000 euros, but nothing happens?

Do you know that the working mother is so financially exhausted that she could not heat in winter, let alone take hot showers? This horrible Berlin judiciary doesn't care at all.

[Perhaps you will have read the article on the scandal case.](#)

From an interrogation:

The Chief of Police in Berlin
LKA 132 – 14717 – 1900 – 226032
KK'in Gretzler, Tel: +49 30 4664 913214



Snowflakes from dad to child. In this case, there is no official ethics of responsibility for sexual abuse in the Berlin judiciary.

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender

We have also read the files. From the beginning, very big mistakes were made. How can it be that a police officer tells a 4-year-old child playing during interrogation that "he has to tell the truth in front of the police". The child has no interest at all in talking to this "truth-loving official". And if the child tells in between when the dad came, and pulled with him under the covers, that there were "white snowflakes" at dad's house, and this hint was not interpreted according to a criminal offence regarding abuse, what **PLEASE** is going on in the minds of these lawyers. In this case, of course, with your specialist colleagues at the Berlin public prosecutor's office – or the family court – but there: **"the lake rests quietly!"** An unbearable lack of empathy. It is your own fault that the (oh so evil) people show you the "ass card" or the "middle finger". Then you become active with criminal charges for insult or defamation and also cash in for your shit.

Question: Have you ever seen if something comes out of Papa's pee?

Answer: ([redacted] coughs.) Yes.

Question: Did you understand me?

Answer: Yes.

Question: What did I ask you?

Answer: Yes, snowflakes.



Don't you think that you, as a mother, a citizen of the country, as a politician in a constantly moralizing party (Greens) and as a lawyer, would have to completely reopen the case simply because of this strange entry of 135,000 euros and the files I have described?

If so, then not at the Berlin public prosecutor's office. Obviously, there are good connections to greats that are not as kosher as they appear to be on the outside. Maybe this will be cleared up soon. Who knows! Eventually, everything will come out. Perhaps the Potsdam prosecutor's office should reevaluate the case. Because that something is wrong here, many interested people who have read this article have meanwhile shared on social media. Please also answer the questions above. I will publish this letter in due course.

I'm hearing from you.

[Heinz Faßbender](#)

Journalist

[to the blog](#)

JustizSkandale

by Heinz
Faßbender



Sent: Friday, April 05, 2024 at 01:12 PM

From: Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de

To: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de

Subject: AW: AW: AW: AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau from 21.03.2024

Dear Mr. Fassbender,
Paula Riester, politician and now spokesperson for the judiciary. All said? Certainly not!

I have answered your questions about

the demand of the KEJ in my last e-mails, so I refer to them.

Yours sincerely,
Paula Riester

Hello Mrs. Riester,

I consider your behaviour to be subterranean with regard to my presentation. They gamble away all authority and any state authority to tell anyone how to behave. It is becoming more and more absurd how many irresponsible lawyers and civil servants have to endure by the taxpayer.

Your brutalization against people who have been and will be harmed by the state will backfire on you. The people are fed up with the official destruction of all civilizational and moral values and the constitutional democracy you propagate, for which they are mendacious and called to the streets by the institutions that destroy this democracy.

I will now ask the Federal President to take up the matter – because you are obviously deliberately incapable of remedying official failures and injustices.

Yours faithfully

signed: Fassbender

New inquiry – new luck?

Aw: AW: AW: AW: AW: Your inquiry to the District Court of Spandau dated 21.03.2024

By: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de

To: Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de

Date: 07.04.2024 15:52:00

Ms. Riester,

I leave a new article on the case mentioned: [In the case of the Berlin judiciary, there is a vicious brutalization beyond all limits of what is still tolerable. Enlightenment: No thanks.](#)

At the same time, I call on you to communicate here all claims against Mrs Sa.

In addition, Mrs. Sa. earns about XXXX € as a nurse and receives 1100 € of it - of which the maintenance to the alleged perpetrator, on suspicion of child abuse, also goes to the child's father. In short, the mother, with the approval of the judiciary, endures an alleged child molester.

The non-attachable basic amount (garnishment-free limit) is EUR 1,402.28 per month until 30 June 2024.

Mrs. Sa. has asked for a reduction in the amount of the attachment and has not received an answer for weeks – as usual. How can that be?

For a publishable statement, I have made a note of 11.04.2024.

Yours sincerely,

Heinz FassbenderJournalist