

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

**The Berlin judiciary wants a mother who is fighting for her child - 135,000 € - but there is no creditor who wants the money.**



A fake justice bill of 135,000 €, but no one in the judiciary knows what is going on with it and who the "rich creditor" is supposed to be!

**A Berlin judicial scandal to deprive a mother of the power to protect her own child, with medically established expert opinions, from sexual abuse, quite obviously by the child's father. Worse still, the boy is in the household of his father, who also has custody. Endorsed by Berlin's "Child Welfare" Youth Welfare Offices, "Cover-Up" Public Prosecutor's Offices and the "Ignoramus" Family Court.**



AUTHOR: [Heinz Fassbender](#)  
March 29, 2024  
13 min.read

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

## Who is the creditor?

Title: DR11 1214/16

Received 29.08.2016; Completed 10.04.2017; Done; VAK to third-party creditors 10.04.2017

Creditor: Cost Collection Office of the Judiciary, Altstädter Ring 7, 13597 Berlin

Az. 116200593009

Debtor ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Born on ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Born in ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Country of birth: Germany

Maiden name ■ ■ ■ ■ ■

Total Ford. As of today, EUR 137,684.77.

Approx. totals receivables 109,125.89 EUR, costs 98.53

EUR, interest 28,962.74 EUR, payment 0.00 EUR

Outstanding receivables are EUR 109,125.89 + costs EUR 98.53 + interest EUR 28,460.35

Procedure VH

Now it is important to analyze the administrative background, whose bureaucratic and political structures are immune to their own abuses only as long as a stable democratic constitution stands between an equally stable government and administration on the one hand and the ideological or criminal abyss on the other.

This is not established in this case in any way. Those who are divided by violence are united in harmony in infamy – can be determined here in this case. This is even reported to us by those familiar with the case, who describe this number as the "axis of justice and authorities – evil".

They didn't want to find out or even investigate anything – and mostly without any justification. The Berlin public prosecutor's office, the "oh so great" youth welfare offices and even better independent family courts have clearly made themselves accomplices in sexual abuse and a considerable endangerment of the child's well-being, report all those who have dealt with this impossible case. They have developed into a criminal and ignorant organization for a child molester and have not only turned a blind eye, but all body orifices and their still very small existing moral synapses, in their already small mental brain mass, complains word klar, a silent observer of this "horror number".

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

Even when a human rights organization took action and the abuse was clearly reported to the public prosecutor's office, the authorities did nothing – and only maliciously and irresponsibly brushed it off. Here is the letter:

IAoHRD • Marc Fridrich • Herrenweg 6a • 55442 Daxweiler

Public Prosecutor's Office Berlin  
Turmstraße 91  
10559 Berlin

## Human Rights Violation in Pending Proceedings of the Berlin Public Prosecutor's Office

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen,

Through the international conference "Child Abduction as a Weapon of Family Destruction" of Victims Mission on May 28, 2016 at the Grand Hotel Vienna, we became aware of the case of Mrs. Jaqueline Sahr, which was processed under the following file numbers:

**Staatsanwaltschaft Berlin:** 284Js 54/16 – 284Js 1754/14 – 284Js 792/15 – 284Js 1780/16

**District Court Tempelhof / Kreuzberg:** 120 F 4220/16

The proceedings pending before the Berlin Public Prosecutor's Office led to the violation of **Articles 2, 3, 4, 19, 34 and 37 of the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child**. Based on the facts of the case and current developments, it can be assumed that these violations of the law will be accepted by the Berlin Public Prosecutor's Office.

The sexual abuse has been proven by medical reports as well as by the collection of evidence by the LKA. We are asking for further information on the motives that led you to refrain from prosecuting the alleged perpetrator. In the event of a failure to respond to this request, the matter will be submitted to the United Nations for consideration without further communication.

**And what does the Berlin public prosecutor's office say?** A strange, sometimes even grotesque mirror fencing. Anyone who speaks up here only wants to stand out in a negative way. He wants to assert and advance his value, his significance and that of his authority for the ignorant state with his own ideas and ideas – and yet at the same time the public prosecutor's office keeps at bay with words what it is talking about and what it is participating in. Irresponsibility and ignoramus as lived arrogance of power.



Instead  
I am  
not  
responsible!

1. Note: Reference to the < letter of the "IAoHRD" dated 28.10.2016 >, submitted here on 04.05.2017. The organization, which is said to be based in Malta, is not known. There is no right to information. The letter was not replied to. It is to be brought to the attention of the administration because of unforeseeable legal consequences. The proceedings were discontinued, and a complaint by the Berlin Public Prosecutor's Office was not remedied
2. AL-V 284 z.K.
3. U.m. OJ of < item 1 > sent to Division 213 for information
4. put away again

Lenz Staatsanwalt 05. From 2017

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

Perhaps in 2016 there was no internet at the public prosecutor's office in Berlin. This is uncharted territory – and the mountains of files are being pushed through the long corridors of the authorities. Corridors where the human coldness of the black-robed wearers reigns and the original German lawyer devils rub their hands and stammer out again and again – "what was right yesterday cannot be wrong today". Anyone who considers a modern state system and its administration as a regulating and balancing authority to be a civilizational advance must note that the Berlin public prosecutor's office in well-placed words "of licking me on the A." also prove a relapse of a state into human barbarism. Here is the link of this organization, which has not been found by the prosecutor's office, with the words the organization does not exist:

The means by which the public prosecutor's office secures its incomprehensible decisions is an abuse of power of a very special kind. A lawyer for the mother does not receive the files because there is allegedly no legitimate interest. Language itself becomes a tool of murder. It serves both to abstract and to disguise the actual project, so that the nothing-wanting-to-have-known

I don't know anything about that, I'm sure the prosecutor will say later. After all, I acted in accordance with the law. It was right that we took away the mother's "custody". We had to protect her own child from her – the mother.

"I don't know anything" – how often was this answer given after 1945. [How often has "data protection" been abused to protect perpetrators?](#)

The many small cogs in the system made it possible – from administrative officials to police officers to youth welfare office employees – to participate in the deportation of children into "wrong hands", and at the same time relieved their conscience because they did not want to have known anything about it. Command is command! That was the authority. But they cannot be held responsible. The rule of law has

become the devil against humanity and human rights. Who trained such lawyers in the first place?

And perhaps that is why there is this order from Frederick I (Prussia) on the professional gap for the rascals who today call themselves



lawyers. Only on fees and fees.

First of all, we hereby order and order that the advocates are to wear woollen black cloaks, which go below the knee, in accordance with our ordinance, so that the rascals can be recognized from afar.

Frederick Willhelm I

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

## **.Ripping off "fees" for injustice, the judiciary is not squeamish.**

They rip off what it still has to offer, even if you don't have anything left. The fees for the mother run into the thousands. Family courts are also involved in the fee racking. The legal demand for clarification will be expensive. But that's German law, isn't it? And for this right, even her duty, to care for and protect the child, she is really exempted from justice as a nurse. Garnishments up to the date is no longer possible. No heating, no more hot showers possible – too expensive. The father also does not waive maintenance – despite suspicions of abuse. Even the rascals (lawyers) are really healthy. Keeping everything in circulation for nothing and nothing again – but the ruble is rolling. New application – new money hardly affordable anymore. Even if there is no creditor at all in the matter with the 135,000 €, the judiciary seizes. And an arrest warrant was signed for immediate execution against the mother by a court. None of this can be true.

Why the mother should pay 135,000 €, we asked here. Has the Berlin judiciary been covering up proven sexual abuse for years with all "constitutional means"?



Mother at work in the Charité University Medical Center. Applauded during the Corona period and then overwhelmed with demands for payment.



[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

Now I want to get a press pass – so that people can answer at all.

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 26, 2024 at 5:03 PM

**From:** [Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de](mailto:Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de)

**To:** [tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de)

**Subject:** Your inquiry to the AG Spandau dated 21.03.2024

Dear Mr. Fassbender,

Your request of 21.03.2024 has been forwarded to me by the District Court of Spandau. However, since I am only authorised to provide information to the press, I ask you to send me your press card first.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Riester

Judge at the District Court

Spokesperson of the Berlin Civil Courts

E-Mail: [dezernat7@kg.berlin.de](mailto:dezernat7@kg.berlin.de)

## Our answer:

**From:** [tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de) <[tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de)>

**Sent:** Tuesday, March 26, 2024 6:16

**PM To:** KG Press and Public Relations <[Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de](mailto:Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de)>

**Subject:** Aw: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau of 21.03.2024

Hello, enclosed is the press pass in the appendix.

You will also receive two pre-releases:

[Has the Berlin judiciary been covering up proven sexual abuse for years with all "constitutional means"?](#)

[Continuation: The great cover-up of the Berlin judiciary and authorities. A boy suffers from sexual abuse.](#)

Friendly greeting

Heinz Fassbender

.

.



**Paula Riester (right) at her farewell together with BvV chairwoman Kristine Jaath (Alliance 90/Greens). Now she is the press spokesperson for the Berlin civil courts. The independent judiciary is thus securely guaranteed.**

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 27, 2024 at 02:59

**PM From:** [Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de](mailto:Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de)

**To:** [tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de)

**Subject:** AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau dated 21.03.2024

Dear Mr. Fassbender,

Thank you very much for sending us your press card.

However, for data protection reasons, I cannot provide any further information on your request. Without the consent of the person concerned, I cannot tell you whether any cost proceedings concerning him or her are ongoing at all and, if so, with what content. However, the person can contact the judiciary himself if he or she has any questions.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Riester

**We want to know! Our answer:**

**From:** [tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de) <[tv-journalistenbuero@email.de](mailto:tv-journalistenbuero@email.de)>

**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2024 9:02 AM

**To:** KG Press and Public Relations <[Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de](mailto:Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de)>

**Subject:** Aw: AW: Your inquiry to the AG Spandau dated 21.03.2024

**Priority:** High

Good morning

I leave in the attachment power of attorney to release the information requested from me as a function of a press enquiry. In addition, I would like to point out that before requesting my press card, which is also part of my right to self-determination under data protection law, you could have informed me that I needed a power of attorney to release data that is part of the right to informational self-determination. I find that very strange.



Paula Riester (born 19 April 1984 in Berlin) is a German lawyer and politician. From May 2008 to January 2016, she was a spokesperson for the Green Youth and sat in the district council of Friedrichshain-Kreuzberg for Alliance 90/The Greens. Riester has been a judge since 2016.

Other attachments enclosed with this e-mail show that the alleged debtor had repeatedly asked what debts the €135,000 was. Moreover, your authority has not replied to these questions.

The effects of the almost meanness and civilized piping by authorities, the judiciary and politics against those affected and society in principle will be interpreted by later generations as a kind of "new fascist method" in the sense of the final solution of "our democracy". Today, a small "Wannsee Conference" takes place in every dispute between the authorities and those persecuted by the authorities. Putting people through the meat grinder without a sense of guilt is what a historian who has dealt with the question of the Final Solution Protocols of the Wannsee Conference tells me.

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

As a former politician, you will certainly understand this historical reference in comparison with the present day.

I would therefore like to ask a supplementary question:

Were you aware that the alleged debtor had asked several times in writing what the debts were, before you said here that the "debtor" could also report to the local court herself to inquire about the state of affairs?

Now I expect a speedy answer to my questions and sufficient information as to what amount of debt is involved and whether there is actually a legally binding title or whether legal proceedings have taken place regarding the claim of € 135,000. Everything has to be on the table now – no ifs and buts!

With vernacular language, I urge you not to make fun of me here any further and to disclose everything, but also everything. Obligation to provide information!

In these days at Easter, a person named Jesus is said to have been nailed to the cross and suffered and died for all of us.

I think, without wanting to offend you, that all the authorities should think about whether **they** are not the Roman soldiers who today nail the people to the cross and put them down.

Happy Easter – that's all I can say!

Friendly greeting

Heinz FassbenderJournalist



## the astonishment

**AW: AW: Your inquiry to the District Court of Spandau dated 21.03.2024**



[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

From: Dezernat7@kg.berlin.de To: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de Date: 03/28/2024 04:32:50 PM

Dear Mr. Fassbender,

According to the documents they sent, it is said to be a claim from the Judicial Costs Recovery Office (KEJ). In this regard, I can inform you that the KEJ collects procedural costs, e.g. court fees, costs for experts, but does not collect claims from third parties. As a rule, however, the costs of the proceedings do not amount to a six-figure amount. Nor does KEJ claim any interest.

There is a matter of costs for the file numbers you mentioned, but it is in the lower four-digit range. I cannot tell you to what extent there are other third-party claims against the person concerned. I have no information on this.

Yours sincerely,

Paula Riester

## And now? What's going on?

Years of claims of €135,000 – and no one wants to have done it? We won't let up. This thing is getting hotter and hotter.

The



Should the mother be knocked out with this fake sum to destroy her fighting spirit. suspicion is confirmed.

However, the entry of €135,000 can only have been made by a judicial employee.

The child's father, who is said to have abused his own son, but the judiciary refuses to investigate, also has the best contacts in the judiciary.

Perhaps this is the only reason why it is understandable that the answer to a press inquiry about unidentified abuse is the same.

**How many corpses do the judiciary and competent authorities still have in the basement in this matter?**

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender



DISTRICT OFFICE SPANDAU OF BERLIN. It's about time: The Department of Youth and Health is looking for new employees for the Youth Welfare Office. Spandau is home to around 246,000 people. We at the Spandau district office of Berlin are shaping our district for a better quality of life. Around 2,000 characters in more than 40 professional groups. People with ideas and visions for the future. With commitment and passion. With talents and skills. Personalities with their own stories and experiences. Diverse and unique. This is Team Spandau.

**A response from the youth protection authority is downright outrageous.**

**Antw: Urgent press inquiry on the subject of apparent refusal to investigate sexual abuse**

From: "Youth and Health" <jugendundgesundheits@ba-spandau.berlin.de>

An: tv-journalistenbuero@email.de

Date: 27.03.2024 14:28:25

Dear Mr. Fassbender,

Thank you very much for your inquiry. To do this, we can tell you the following:

Upon receipt of a report on a suspicion of child endangerment, the responsible Berlin district office initiates a Berlin-wide investigation procedure as soon as possible. This provides for at least a 4-eyes principle by two case-leading experts and, if necessary, the involvement of other experts. The aim of the examination procedure and, if necessary, a decision on a necessary help and protection concept is always to ensure the physical, mental or emotional well-being of the child.

We ask for your understanding that information on specific cases may only be provided with the consent of all legal guardians.

Yours sincerely,  
i. A. Simone Engler

Spandau District Office of Berlin

Dept. of Youth and Health

Press and Public Relations Unit

Tel.: 030/90279-2293

E-Mail: [s.engler@ba-spandau.berlin.de](mailto:s.engler@ba-spandau.berlin.de)

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

**A possible child rapist who has custody of his victim should now be allowed to decide whether a journalist can receive information about his possible rape. That's just screaming!**

And this is how the Berlin Family Court reacts to the case. You might say, "Oh - I'm so great, I'm writing a protocol, I'm writing a nice protocol, isn't my protocol really great?"

The mother's complaint was unsuccessful (Senate decision of 23.12.2015). By order of the Local Court of 19.09.2017, the Local Court transferred parental custody in its entirety to the father. The complaint was rejected by the Senate. The public prosecutor's office subsequently refused to reopen investigations. By order of 12.05.2019, the district court regulated supervised contact. According to the findings of the District Court, there had not yet been any change in the mother's attitude towards the father. The mother's appeal against the order of the district court was unsuccessful. Supervised contact has not taken place since the end of 2019.

Excerpt from the resolution of the Berlin Senate (page 3)

Because the mother does not change her attitude that independent medical diagnoses should be taken seriously, at least by these ignoramuses, "there is no longer any contact." She should simply accept the abuse of the alleged perpetrator and then be allowed to see her own child again? What madness. What a heinous blackmail.

[to the blog](#)

# JustizSkandale

by Heinz  
Faßbender

Proof: Baseline diagnosis:

**17.07.2014 Mrs. Dr. M. Günter Paediatrician**

**B009** Infection Herpes Virus Genital Injury

**F69** Personality and behavioural disorders

**F91,3** Developmental disorders, antisocial or aggressive behaviour

**T74,2** Suspected sexual abuse

**21.08.2014 Dr. Psych. Aistrow**

**F93.8** Other childhood emotional disorders – anxiety disorders incl.: identity disorder. The basis of the existing separation (dissociation) is the occurrence of a situation that would mean certain death for the child without adaptation. Exl.: Disorder of childhood gender identity (**F64.2**)

**28.08.2014-14.01.2015 Charité Trauma-Ambulanz Prof. Dr. Lehmkuhl**

**F91,3** Developmental disorders, antisocial or aggressive behaviour

**F93.8** Other childhood emotional disorders.

**F98.0 / F98.1** Non-organic enuresis and enuresis

**F51,4** Pavor nocturnus – anxious sleep disorders

Reasonable suspicion of sexual abuse axis six serious impairments.

LaGeso's application was made on 28.08.2014

## And what does the Youth Welfare Office have to say about these diagnoses?

It reproaches the mother for having gone to the expert examination with her child as a nurse. Do these people still know what child endangerment is? With this assessment of the Youth Welfare Office employee, it is clear who has endangered the child's well-being here.

Statement of the JA on the doctor's letter of 12.04.2015 of the Ev. Forest Hospital Spandau

Such an examination procedure represents a considerable burden on the child and should always be carefully considered by those responsible . Since this was not the first time that Mrs. Sahr had presented her son to a doctor for an examination of the rectal area, she had to know what she was putting her son through.

What is an imposition here? Experts tell the editors – Definitely the Youth Welfare Office!  
We may have to take a closer look at these "special" gentlemen in the context of this case. Let's see what comes of it!  
Who knows?